eRepo-ORP: Exploring the Opportunity Space to Combat Orphan Diseases with Existing Drugs
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Abstract

About 7000 rare, or orphan, diseases affect more than 350 million people worldwide. Although these conditions collectively pose significant health care problems, drug companies seldom develop drugs for orphan diseases due to extremely limited individual markets. Consequently, developing new treatments for often life-threatening orphan diseases is primarily contingent on financial incentives from governments, special research grants, and private philanthropy. Computer-aided drug repositioning is a cheaper and faster alternative to traditional drug discovery offering a promising venue for orphan drug research. Here, we present eRepo-ORP, a comprehensive resource constructed by a large-scale repositioning of existing drugs to orphan diseases with a collection of structural bioinformatics tools, including eThread, eFindSite, and eMatchSite. Specifically, a systematic exploration of 320,856 possible links between known drugs in DrugBank and orphan proteins obtained from Orphanet reveals as many as 18,145 candidates for repurposing. In order to illustrate how potential therapeutics for rare diseases can be identified with eRepo-ORP, we discuss the repositioning of a kinase inhibitor for Ras-associated autoimmune leukoproliferative disease. The eRepo-ORP data set is available through the Open Science Framework at https://osf.io/qdjup/.

Introduction

Rare diseases are conditions afflicting a small subset of people in a population, where “small” is uniquely defined by each country. For example, the United States denotes disorders affecting fewer than 200,000 patients as rare diseases, also referred to as orphan diseases. Although each of approximately 7000 orphan conditions has a tiny number of patients, they amount to 30 million patients in the United States, 30 million in Europe, and around 350 million globally [1]. Because pharmaceutical companies seldom develop drugs for orphan diseases due to the lack of consumers, special attention needs to be placed on treating these conditions. After the success of the Orphan Drug Act signed into law in the United States by President Reagan in 1983, other governments adopted similar mechanisms to facilitate orphan drug development, mostly by granting market exclusivity and reducing research and development costs [2]. These actions allow for not only sufficient financial incentives for pharmaceutical companies, but also manageable costs for non-profits. Fewer financial difficulties, various governmental inducements, and increasing public awareness, together with advances in research techniques have stimulated a global interest in orphan drug development and rare disease research [3].

Certainly, without the support of quality data sets and resources, the progress in orphan drug research might not be as consistent as it has been. For instance, Orphanet, the de facto rare disease reference source, contributes quality, robust data on rare diseases as well as reliable clinical practice guidelines [4]. Most importantly, Orphanet enables researchers to share common language and information to undergo controlled scientific analysis and, ultimately, orphan drug discovery. Similar to Orphanet, the
Genetic and Rare Diseases Information Center at the National Institutes of Health provides comprehensive information regarding rare diseases and orphan drugs [5]. Last but not least, the Developing Products for Rare Diseases & Conditions section of the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) website hosts freely accessible official legal documentation regarding orphan drug development and regulations [6]. These rich resources on orphan diseases available to researchers worldwide facilitate the development of new treatments for rare conditions. For instance, a systems-level approach to find connections between existing drug products and orphan diseases, known as drug repurposing, holds a significant promise to greatly expand the repertoire of orphan drugs.

As an alternative strategy to drug discovery, compound repurposing finds new indications for existing drugs. This approach can dramatically improve the success rates by shortening the time of drug development to about 3–12 years at the reduced safety and pharmacokinetic uncertainty [7]. Repurposing of already-approved drugs would most likely bypass initial clinical trials, especially if the corresponding dosage does not exceed the maximum approved by a regulatory agency. Although efficacy tests for the new treatment are still required, an existing drug is likely to have well-characterized long-term toxicity and off-target effects. Furthermore, the magnitude of side effects may be an important determinant to repurpose a drug. For example, a drug with a high risk of significant side effects might not be appropriate when the primary goal is to maintain the quality of life of a patient; however, repurposing the same drug to treat a life-threatening disease may be acceptable.

Despite the fact that time- and cost-effective rational drug repurposing is expected to play a major role in the development of treatments for rare conditions [8], it is not trivial and poses a number of onerous challenges. It is, therefore, not surprising that most of the repurposed drugs currently on the market are the result of serendipity. Perhaps the most recognizable example is sildenafil; originally intended to treat hypertension and angina pectoris in the 1980s, it was later repurposed to erectile dysfunction and pulmonary arterial hypertension [9]. Another instance is memantine [10], synthesized in the 1960s as a potential agent to treat diabetes, although it was found ineffective at lowering blood sugar. Its activity against the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor was discovered in the 1980s, and presently, memantine is used to treat Alzheimer’s disease, vascular dementia, and Parkinson’s disease [11]. These examples show that although drug repurposing is regarded as one of the most promising strategies for translational medicine, many new indications for existing drugs have been found serendipitously. Therefore, there is a clear need to establish rational, preferably computer-guided routines for drug repurposing.

In this communication, we describe eRepo-ORP, a new resource for orphan drug research. eRepo-ORP is a drug repurposing data set that builds on the results of a large-scale pocket matching between target sites for known drugs and those binding pockets identified in proteins linked to rare diseases. Known drugs and their macromolecular targets are extracted from DrugBank, a unique bioinformatics and cheminformatics resource providing detailed chemical, pharmacological, and structural data on drug–target associations [12], whereas proteins connected to orphan diseases are obtained from Orphanet [4]. Furthermore, we designed a sophisticated protocol incorporating several state-of-the-art algorithms to find potential candidates for repurposing by modeling the high-quality structures of drug targets with eThread [13], comprehensively annotating their binding sites with eFindSite [14,15], and effectively detecting similar drug-binding pockets with eMatchSite [16,17]. In general, this approach builds on ligand-binding homology, a technique previously employed in computer-aided drug development to detect binding sites [18] and to discover potential leads through virtual screening [19,20]. Ras-associated autoimmune leukoproliferative disease is discussed as a representative example illustrating how eRepo-ORP can be used to identify therapeutics for orphan diseases. eRepo-ORP is a large collection of knowledge-based predictions to initiate more extensive basic and clinical research focused on investigating potentially new indications for existing drugs. The complete data set is freely available to the research community through the Open Science Framework at https://osf.io/qdjup/.

Results and Discussion

Protocol for template-based drug repurposing

eRepo-ORP is constructed based on a large-scale drug repurposing conducted with accurate, template-based techniques according to a protocol presented in Fig. 1. The first phase is to generate structural data for FDA-approved drugs and their molecular targets based on information extracted from the DrugBank database (Fig. 1a). Structure models of drug targets are constructed by eThread and annotated with drug-binding sites and residues by eFindSite (Fig. 1b). Next, for each drug–target pair, we identify in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) [21], a globally similar template binding a ligand that is chemically similar to the DrugBank compound (Fig. 1c). This holo-template is structurally superposed onto the DrugBank target (Fig. 1d) and then the DrugBank compound is aligned onto the template-bound ligand (Fig. 1e). This procedure produces 2012 atomic models of drug–target complexes involving 348
unique proteins and 715 drugs (Fig. 1f). The second phase is to model proteins associated with orphan diseases obtained from the Orphanet database (Fig. 1g). Structure models of 922 Orphanet proteins with predicted drug-binding sites and residues represented by little circles are generated by a similar protocol to that used for DrugBank targets. The last phase is to identify similar binding sites in DrugBank and Orphanet models in order to reposition existing drugs. This task is accomplished by employing eMatchSite to construct local alignments for 320,856 possible pairs of DrugBank and Orphanet proteins (Fig. 1i). For 18,145 pairs producing a statistically significant local alignment, a drug molecule bound to the DrugBank protein is transferred to the Orphanet target and the complex model is subjected to all-atom refinement (Fig. 1j). Refined structure models are included in the eRepo-ORP database.

Quality of structural data generated for DrugBank and Orphanet

Structure models are generated for the DrugBank and Orphanet data sets with eThread, a meta-threading approach employing state-of-the-art fold recognition. Initial models constructed by Modeller from eThread alignments are refined with ModRefiner, which performs atomic-level energy minimization in a composite physics- and knowledge-based force field improving side-chain positions and hydrogen-bonding networks. An independent assessment of the quality of protein models is carried out with ModelEvaluator utilizing the predicted secondary structure, relative solvent accessibility, residue contact map, and beta-sheet structure. Statistics reported in Supplementary Table S1 show that the template-based modeling protocol employed in this study produces highly confident structure models, whose mean estimated Global Distance Test (GDT) score \([22]\) values are 0.71 and 0.68 for DrugBank and Orphanet proteins, respectively. In addition, the mean confidence for the top-ranked binding sites predicted in these models by eFindSite is as high as 0.87 for DrugBank and 0.82 for Orphanet targets.

The structure models of DrugBank complexes are constructed by aligning the protein and the drug onto a holo-template selected from the PDB. Supplementary Table S1 reports the mean Tanimoto coefficient (TC) \([23]\) between the DrugBank compound and the template-bound ligand of 0.49 and the mean Template Modeling (TM) score \([24]\) between receptor proteins of 0.65. Note that both TC and TM score are even higher when only those cases producing statistically significant pocket alignments are considered. These numbers clearly indicate that globally similar templates binding chemically similar ligands are selected for the majority of drug–protein pairs from DrugBank to produce highly confident complex models. Supplementary Table S1 also provides statistics for DrugBank \(\rightarrow\) Orphanet pairs. Both TM score and eMatchSite score (eMS score) values are very low for all data, basically showing that randomly selected pairs of proteins share neither global nor local structure similarity. However, considering the
subset of 18,145 pairs producing statistically significant local alignments, the mean eMS score is as high as 0.91, although the mean TM score is still only 0.27. These results demonstrate that the vast majority of similar binding sites included in eRepo-ORP are identified by eMatchSite in DrugBank and Orphanet proteins having unrelated global structures.

**Matching DrugBank drugs to Orphanet proteins**

The results of a large-scale pocket matching between DrugBank and Orphanet proteins are presented as a heat map in **Fig. 2**. Pocket similarity is measured with eMS score reported by eMatchSite. eMS score ranges from 0 to 1, with values of ≥0.56 indicating statistically significant local alignments. Furthermore, binding sites predicted by eFindSite in DrugBank and Orphanet proteins are subjected to ligand-based virtual screening employing molecular fingerprints extracted from template-bound ligands. Protein targets in each data set in **Fig. 2** are clustered with respect to the chemical similarity of the top-ranked compounds selected by virtual screening. Five distinct groups of proteins marked by rounded boxes bind compounds containing nitrogen bases, carbohydrates, amino acids, fatty acids, and other molecules. Only 5.6% of 320,856 local alignments between DrugBank and Orphanet proteins are statistically significant at an eMS score of 0.56, indicating that these pairs of pockets bind similar molecules. Although the majority of similar pockets, marked by dark spots in **Fig. 2**, are detected between proteins binding the same type of ligands, for example, those compounds containing nitrogen bases, similarities are detected between different groups as well. Because some DrugBank proteins bind multiple drugs, more than one drug can be repositioned to the Orphan target based on a single alignment of a pair of pockets. Specifically, eRepo-ORP comprises 31,142 unique putative complexes between DrugBank compounds and Orphanet proteins, modeled from 18,145 pairs of pockets producing statistically significant local alignments. The database can be searched with the disorder name and identification according to Orphanet, as well as the DrugBank identifier. In the following section, we discuss a representative case selected from eRepo-ORP showing a DrugBank compound that can potentially be repositioned to an Orphanet protein associated with a rare disease.

**Ras-associated autoimmune leukoproliferative disease and vandetanib**

Ras-associated autoimmune leukoproliferative disorder (RALD; ORPHA:268,114) is a chronic, non-malignant condition characterized by monocytosis and often associated with leukocytosis, lymphoproliferation, and autoimmune phenomena [25]. RALD is linked to certain mutations in GTPase KRas (KRAS), which plays an important role in the regulation of cell proliferation promoting oncogenic events; thus, it is considered a major target in anticancer drug discovery [26]. Specifically, amino...
Acid substitutions in codons 12 and 13 of KRAS in RALD patients cause the constitutive binding of GTP and the activation of the KRAS protein inducing the Raf–MEK–ERK signaling pathway [25]. According to eRepo-ORP, KRAS produces a highly significant local alignment with protein-tyrosine kinase 6 (PTK6) implicated in the regulation of a variety of signaling pathways that control the differentiation and maintenance of normal epithelia, as well as tumor growth [27]. PTK6 is a target for vandetanib, an oral kinase inhibitor of tumor angiogenesis and tumor cell proliferation approved by the FDA to treat non-resectable, locally advanced, or metastatic medullary thyroid cancer in adult patients [28].

Figure 3 presents structure models of PTK6 (purple) and KRAS (gold). The model of PTK6 constructed with eThread from tyrosine-protein kinase HCK (PDB-ID: 1qcf, chain A, 42.6% sequence identity) [29] is assigned a high estimated GDT score of 0.74. Furthermore, vandetanib (DrugBank-ID: DB05294) was transferred to PTK6 according to the global structure alignment with cyclin-dependent kinase 6 bound to this inhibitor (PDB-ID: 2ivu, chain A, TM score of 0.54) [30]. The final model of the vandetanib–PTK6 complex is shown in Fig. 3a as solid ribbons and sticks. We selected this particular case because the vandetanib–PTK6 model was generated using the October 2016 version of the PDB, and in January 2017, a crystal structure of PTK6 kinase domain complexed with another inhibitor, dasatinib, was released (PDB-ID: 5h2u, chain A) [31]. This experimental structure superposed onto the vandetanib–PTK6 model is shown in Fig. 3a as transparent ribbons and sticks. A TM score between the PTK6 model and the experimental structure is as high as 0.92 with a Cα-RMSD of 2.3 Å. Furthermore, the RMSD calculated over dasatinib-binding residues is only 0.7 Å, demonstrating that not only the backbone but also the binding pocket is modeled with a very high accuracy. Although vandetanib and dasatinib have a low chemical similarity with a TC of only 0.15, both inhibitors have a similar shape and the modeled binding pose of vandetanib resembles the experimental conformation of dasatinib. Moreover, the top-ranked binding site predicted with 99.7% confidence by eFindSite in the PTK6 model substantially overlaps with the dasatinib-binding pocket in the experimental complex structure. The Matthews correlation coefficient [32] between predicted and dasatinib-binding residues reported by Ligand–Protein Contacts software is 0.62.

The model of KRAS was constructed from Ras-related protein Rap-1b (PDB-ID: 4m8n, chain G, 58.4% sequence identity) and assigned a high estimated GDT score of 0.85. Although several inhibitors of KRAS are available, these compounds target the secondary binding site [33]. In Fig. 3b, a GDP-bound KRAS (transparent) is superposed onto the model structure (solid). This superposition yields a high TM score of 0.93 and a low Cα-RMSD of 1.4 Å; furthermore, the RMSD calculated over GDP-binding residues is only 1.1 Å. The top-ranked drug-binding site comprising 27 residues, annotated by eFindSite with 95.7% confidence, has a Matthews correlation coefficient against GDP-binding residues of 0.61. Despite a very low global sequence identity of 12.9% and a structure similarity with a TM score of 0.32 between PTK6 and KRAS, eMatchSite reports a
significant local similarity of their binding sites with an eMS score of 0.99. Figure 3c shows the conformation of vandetanib repositioned from PTK6 to KRAS according to the sequence order-independent pocket alignment by eMatchSite, which results in 4.3 Å Co-RMSD over 25 aligned residues. Repositioned vandetanib fits well into a deep cavity in the KRAS structure forming hydrogen bonds with A18, N116, and K117; aromatic interactions with F28; and hydrophobic contacts with V8 and V9. The interaction energy between vandetanib and KRAS calculated by Distance-scaled Finite Ideal-gas REference is –441.5, which is only slightly higher than –485.6 obtained for the vandetanib–PTK6 model. Altogether, these results suggest that the nucleotide-binding pocket of KRAS may be a suitable target for vandetanib. If so, we anticipate that the competitive binding of vandetanib to KRAS may subdue its gain of function caused by activating mutations, leading to the mitigation of RALD conditions.

Conclusions

In this study, we employ a collection of state-of-the-art algorithms to match, at an unprecedented scale, binding sites for known drugs with those pockets identified in proteins associated with rare diseases. Based on these data, we created eRepo-ORP, a new resource for orphan drug research. eRepo-ORP comprises 31,142 putative complexes between DrugBank compounds and Orphanet proteins exposing vast opportunities to reposition existing drugs to rare diseases. In order to illustrate how potential therapeutics for orphan diseases can be identified with eRepo-ORP, we discuss a possibility to repurpose a kinase inhibitor for Ras-associated autoimmune leukoproliferative disease. Freely available through the Open Science Framework at https://osf.io/qdjup/, eRepo-ORP provides a list of pairs of DrugBank and Orphanet proteins sorted by the matching score, structure models of DrugBank and Orphanet proteins with predicted drug-binding sites, sequence and secondary structure profiles, structure models of DrugBank complexes annotated with energy scores, and complex models of DrugBank drugs repositioned to Orphanet proteins with the corresponding energy scores. We expect that eRepo-ORP will prove valuable to orphan disease research by providing a robust, rational drug repositioning component.

Materials and Methods

DrugBank data set

FDA-approved drugs whose molecular weight is in the range of 150–550 Da and for which at least one target protein is known were selected from DrugBank [12]. Target structures composed of 50–999 amino acids were modeled with eThread, a template-based structure prediction algorithm [13]. eThread employs meta-threading with HH-suite [34], RaptorX [35], and SparksX [36] to select structure templates in the non-redundant and representative subset of the PDB. Comparative structure modeling in eThread is carried out with Modeller [37] based on the top-ranked template and incorporating secondary structure restraints from PSIPRED [38]. Initial models assembled by Modeller were refined with ModRefiner [39]. Finally, each model was assigned an estimated GDT score by ModelEvaluator [40].

In the next step, drug-binding pockets were predicted by eFindSite [14] in confidently modeled target proteins whose estimated GDT score is ≥0.4. Pockets assigned by eFindSite a high and moderate confidence were then subjected to fingerprint-based virtual screening [15]. Each target pocket was screened against a library containing drug molecules from DrugBank [12] and a background collection of 244,659 non-redundant compounds selected from the ZINC database [41]. Only those drug–target pairs for which the drug molecule was ranked within the top 10% of the screening library were retained. Furthermore, we devised a two-step alignment protocol to position drug compounds within the predicted binding pockets for each drug–target pair. First, holo-templates selected by eFindSite were structurally aligned onto the target protein with Fr-TM-align [42] and then the drug molecule was superposed onto the template-bound ligand according to the chemical alignment constructed by kcombu [43].

Orphanet data set

Genes associated with rare disorders were obtained from Orphanet [4], and the sequences of gene products were downloaded from UniProt [44]. Subsequently, for those protein sequences composed of 50–999 amino acids, we employed a protocol described above for the DrugBank data set to conduct comparative structure modeling with eThread [13] followed by drug-binding pocket prediction by eFindSite [14]. Finally, only protein structures with an estimated GDT score of ≥0.4 having binding sites predicted with a high and moderate confidence were retained.

Pocket matching with eMatchSite

All-against-all matching of drug-binding pockets in DrugBank and Orphanet proteins was conducted with eMatchSite [16,17]. eMatchSite constructs sequence order-independent local alignments of pocket residues by solving the assignment problem with machine learning and the Hungarian algorithm [45]. Subsequently, the local alignment is assigned a similarity score, called the eMS score, calculated based on the overlap of various physicochemical
features and evolutionary profiles. eMS score ranges from 0 for completely dissimilar pockets to 1 for identical pockets, with an optimized threshold of 0.56 accurately distinguishing between pockets binding similar and dissimilar molecules [16]. eMatchSite has been benchmarked against a number of established data sets; a comprehensive recap of its performance is presented in Supplementary Text S1. In addition to calculating the similarity score, eMatchSite superposes two pockets according to the constructed local alignments, so that a drug molecule bound to one pocket can be directly transferred to the other binding site. In this study, we use this feature of eMatchSite to transfer drugs bound to DrugBank target to binding sites in Orphanet proteins. In the last step, the constructed complexes of drugs repositioned to Orphanet proteins are rebuilt with Modeller in order to refine drug–target interactions and eliminate steric clashes. The quality of the final complex models is assessed by a knowledge-based statistical energy function for target interactions and eliminate steric clashes. The binding similar and dissimilar molecules [16].

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2017.12.001.
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